



RMPS

Pipelining Technologies

November 14, 2024
www.rmpipesolutions.com

Table of Contents

Overview of Pipe Lining Technologies. _____ 03

- 1. Ultraviolet Cured-In-Place Pipe (UV-CIPP) _____ 03
- 2. Spray-In-Place Pipe (SIPP). _____ 04
- 3. Thermoform Liners. _____ 04
- 4. Pressure Liners. _____ 05
- 5. Epoxy Coatings. _____ 06
- 6. Sliplining. _____ 06
- 7. Fold-and-Form Liners. _____ 07
- 8. Reinforced Cured-In-Place Pipe (CIPP) _____ 07

Comparative Analysis of Pipe Lining Technologies. _____ 08

Emerging Trends in Pipe Lining. _____ 09

Conclusion _____ 09



Introduction

Pipelining technologies have revolutionized infrastructure maintenance and rehabilitation, offering cost-effective and minimally invasive alternatives to traditional pipe replacement. This white paper explores the spectrum of pipelining methods available today, from ultraviolet cured-in-place pipe (UV-CIPP) to spray-in-place pipe (SIPP) and pressure liners like Primus and Bullet Liner. It aims to provide a comprehensive overview, including the history, pros, and cons of each technology, to guide stakeholders in selecting the best solution for their needs.

Overview of Pipe Lining Technologies

1. Ultraviolet Cured-In-Place Pipe (UV-CIPP)

History

UV-CIPP emerged in the early 1990s as a significant advancement in cured-in-place pipe technology. This method builds on traditional CIPP methods with enhancements in curing efficiency and environmental impact.

How It Works

A UV light train cures a resin-impregnated liner inside the pipe. The UV curing process is faster than traditional thermal or steam-based methods and ensures uniform curing.

Pros and Cons

Pros	Cons
Fast curing process	Higher initial equipment cost
Environmentally friendly	Requires clean, dry pipe surfaces
Reduced styrene emissions	Limited flexibility in small diameters
High-quality control with UV cameras	Specialized training required



2. Spray-In-Place Pipe (SIPP)

History

SIPP technologies have been in use since the 1980s, evolving from coatings used for corrosion protection into structural pipe rehabilitation solutions.

How It Works

A spray-applied resin or polymer creates a new pipelining inside the host pipe. The process is versatile for varying pipe diameters and shapes.

Pros and Cons

Pros	Cons
High adaptability to pipe shapes	Dependent on thickness for structural integrity
Non-invasive, fast application	Limited structural capabilities (non-reinforced)
Can address corrosion and leaks	Surface prep critical for adhesion
Cost-effective for small-diameter pipes	May not suit high-pressure systems

3. Thermoform Liners

History

Thermoform liners have been used since the 1990s and are most commonly associated with brands like **Primus Line**. They cater to pressurized systems with high-performance needs.

How It Works

A heat-softened liner is pulled into the pipe and expanded to fit tightly against the host pipe's walls. The material then cools, hardening into place.

Pros and Cons

Pros	Cons
Excellent for pressurized pipes	Limited to specific pipe sizes
Durable and resistant to high pressures	Higher material costs
Flexible installation methods	Limited suitability for large-scale networks



4. Pressure Liners

History

Pressure liners like **Bullet Liner** were developed to enhance durability and flexibility in lining applications. These technologies focus on high-pressure and structural integrity needs.

How It Works

A flexible liner is inserted into the pipe and pressurized to fit snugly against the host pipe's surface. The curing process (mechanical or chemical) reinforces the liner's structure.

Pros and Cons

Pros	Cons
Handles high-pressure systems	Complex installation process
Durable and long-lasting	Higher installation costs
Suitable for irregular pipe shapes	Requires specialized equipment



5. Epoxy Coatings

History

Epoxy coatings have been used since the 1970s, primarily for corrosion protection in potable water systems and small-diameter pipes.

How It Works

Liquid epoxy is sprayed or brushed onto the pipe's interior surface, curing to form a thin protective layer.

Pros and Cons

Pros	Cons
Excellent for potable water lines	Limited to small-diameter pipes
Cost-effective for non-structural needs	Not structurally reinforcing
Corrosion-resistant	Requires extensive surface preparation

6. Sliplining

History

Sliplining is one of the oldest pipe rehabilitation methods, dating back to the 1940s. It involves inserting a smaller pipe into the host pipe.

How It Works

A new pipe is inserted into the existing pipe, and the annular space is grouted for stability.

Pros and Cons

Pros	Cons
Simple and proven technology	Reduces pipe diameter significantly
Highly durable and long-lasting	Disruptive to water flow during installation
Cost-effective for large pipes	Limited adaptability for irregular shapes



7. Fold-and-Form Liners

History

Fold-and-form technology, introduced in the 1970s, remains a versatile solution for pipes with structural and non-structural needs.

How It Works

A thermoplastic liner is folded into a U-shape for insertion and then expanded and hardened to conform to the host pipe.

Pros and Cons

Pros	Cons
Flexible for small-diameter pipes	Limited by pipe geometry
Strong and durable	More time-intensive than CIPP
No significant diameter loss	Specialized equipment needed

8. Reinforced Cured-In-Place Pipe (CIPP)

History

Traditional CIPP dates back to the 1970s and is widely used for non-pressurized systems. Recent advancements include reinforcing the resin with fiberglass.

How It Works

A resin-saturated liner is inserted into the pipe and cured with steam, hot water, or UV light.

Pros and Cons

Pros	Cons
Widely applicable for different systems	High styrene emissions if not controlled
Long lifespan (50+ years)	Longer curing times than UV-CIPP
Customizable materials	Higher labor and material costs



Comparative Analysis of Pipe Lining Technologies

Technology	Year Introduced	Pros	Cons
UV-CIPP	1990s	Fast curing, environmentally friendly	High equipment costs, surface prep critical
SIPP	1980s	Adaptable, cost-effective	Limited structural capacity, surface prep needed
Thermoform Liners	1990s	High-pressure durability	High material cost, size limitations
Pressure Liners (Bullet)	2000s	Excellent for high-pressure, durable	Complex installation, costly
Epoxy Coatings	1970s	Corrosion-resistant, potable water-safe	Non-structural, surface prep intensive
Sliplining	1940s	Durable, cost-effective	Significant diameter reduction, flow disruption
Fold-and-Form Liners	1970s	Durable, flexible	Specialized equipment, time-intensive
Reinforced CIPP	1970s	Long lifespan, customizable	High emissions (styrene), slower curing



Emerging Trends in Pipe Lining

As sustainability becomes a cornerstone of infrastructure development, technologies like UV-CIPP and bio-based resin systems are gaining traction. Automation, digital inspection tools, and enhanced monitoring systems are also becoming standard practice to ensure consistent quality and efficiency.

Conclusion

Pipe lining technologies have matured significantly since their inception, offering diverse solutions to meet modern infrastructure challenges. From UV-CIPP's speed and eco-friendliness to the adaptability of SIPP, each technology serves a unique purpose. Selecting the best approach requires careful consideration of pipe conditions, budget constraints, and long-term performance requirements. By leveraging these innovative methods, industries can ensure sustainable and cost-effective infrastructure management.

